

# MICROFILM DIVIDER

OMB/RECORDS MANAGEMENT DIVISION

SFN 2053 (2/85) 5M



ROLL NUMBER

DESCRIPTION

1324

2007 HOUSE JUDICIARY

HB 1324

## 2007 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE MINUTES

Bill/Resolution No. HB 1324

House Judiciary Committee

Check here for Conference Committee

Hearing Date: 1/29/07

Recorder Job Number: 2105

Committee Clerk Signature



Minutes:

**Chairman DeKrey:** We will open the hearing on HB 1324.

**Rep. Shirley Meyer:** I am a sponsor of this bill (see attached testimony).

**Rep. Klemin:** Is there a difference between breed registry and bred registry.

**Rep. Meyer:** They are interchangeable, that is how LC drafted the legislation.

**Rep. Klemin:** I'm just pointing out on line 2, it says relating to the breed registry and line 8 it says bred registry.

**Rep. Meyer:** I believe that are both correct.

**Rep. Charging:** If you can put your finger on a problem that this fixes, what would that be.

**Rep. Meyer:** It is trying to clean up something that's taking up time in the office and takes up a huge amount of time. The breed registry is being done in the office in long hand in triplicate. It takes hours to do that. It's been pointed out by the State Auditor, and many of the people in the breed industry, that there is a way to streamline this and save hours. The money is there to put this on the computer and get this done faster.

**Rep. Charging:** It is part of the growth process?

**Rep. Meyer:** This is an old problem that has gone on for many years, and it seems to take longer and longer. It needs to be modernized and in 2001, they made a rule that this be done

and that's why I gave you a copy of the rule 69.5. That was intended to cover that right then. It hasn't been done.

**Rep. Onstad:** Is there any reason why we shouldn't leave this up to the rule-making process.

**Rep. Meyer:** The big problem I alluded to earlier, it doesn't get done. We gave the Racing Commission \$5,000 last biennium to promulgate the rules. They aren't done. They are a long way from being done. This was recommended in the audit which is 2 ½ years old. The Horsemen, as I stated earlier, they make this investment years ahead of time. These are definite problems for them. True, it could be done in the rulemaking process, but they are working on proposed rules, but none of these concerns are being addressed in that rule.

**Rep. Onstad:** On #2, it denies the ability to transfer money between funds. Has that happened.

**Rep. Meyer:** That has happened, and is happening. Originally we allowed the breeders fund to transfer money into the purse fund, because we wanted to encourage black type races. That's for high quality races, we had to put more money in there so we could get those races to be run, and we did that. What we're seeing now, the racing industry is a very frail industry. If you look at the dollars, we are losing money. We're subsidizing the track, but to keep this breeders' fund intact, I want to see horse racing continue on in this state as long as possible. In the case if something happened in Belcourt, and they don't have live races, or if something happened in Fargo, that we can no longer race, it would leave the breeders' fund intact. You're still allowed then to apply for breeders' fund at ND bred races, and races run at out-of-state tracks. With transferring money right now, we're seeing a little bit of robbing Peter to pay Paul.

**Rep. Onstad:** So we're asking that each of those different funds really hold merit on their own and be held for that purpose.

**Rep. Meyer:** That's correct. Although I do acknowledge that we have used breeders' fund money in the past to encourage these black type races for a higher quality race.

**Rep. Boehning:** You want to distribute the breeders' fund in one year. Is that how this reads.

**Rep. Meyer:** No, that doesn't happen. That is indicated in your rule, it is 1/8<sup>th</sup> of the fund to be distributed every year.

**Rep. Boehning:** What is the change then.

**Rep. Meyer:** No, it's just that the fund states that the breeders' fund goes to breeders, promotion funds stays with promotion and the funds can't be transferred between each other.

**Rep. Boehning:** You want to set up another commission or you want privatization of this breeder's registry on line 10.

**Rep. Meyer:** That's an entirely different matter. The commission right now, handles the breed registry. That means you come in when the foal is born, before the end of the year, and they stamp ND bred foal. At that time, they have to go in and check who is the sire and mare are, and then if my foal can be ND certified bred, it is stamped on the application. That is contracted out and that is provided for in this rule. That should have been done this whole time. It should be handled by an outside agency. That was determined in a 2001 rule. That was also reaffirmed by your State Auditor in 2004. These breed registries, takes up a huge amount of time because they do everything in the office by hand. It doesn't need to be done that way. The Racing Commission would have oversight. There is \$20,457 in that fund to do that. This would take the actual, manual writing it down over and over again, to a private individual or entity, whoever gets the contract, and that's the way it should be done according to this rule. But it hasn't been done.

**Rep. Boehning:** In other words it would cost about \$20,000/yr to do the registry, if somebody does the contract. What commission will have the oversight.

**Rep. Meyer:** No, that's not how it works. They wouldn't have to use that money. They would contract it out to the lowest bid. The money in that fund is used for postage, certified mailings, etc. It just allows an independent agency or individual with a computer to enter the information.

**Rep. Boehning:** How many horses are we talking about. How many are registered.

**Rep. Meyer:** I don't know. The numbers are increasing all the time.

**Chairman DeKrey:** Thank you. Further testimony in support.

**Rep. Tracy Boe:** I am a sponsor. The Horsemen are in favor of this bill.

**Chairman DeKrey:** Thank you. Further testimony in support.

**Sen. Richard Marcellais:** (see attached testimony).

**Chairman DeKrey:** Thank you. Further testimony in support.

**Lance, Hagen, ND Quarterhorse Racing Association:** Our organization supports this bill.

We need to maintain the breeders' fund. Back in 2000, we purchased a laptop to put this information on it. We began working on the project in 2001, and we didn't get as far as we'd like. We wanted to be able to enter the data at the end of the races in Fargo, and be able to pay the horsemen. There are some changes that need to be made to the Administrative Rules. That can be taken care of by the Commission. I think it would be a good move to let someone else do this, an independent person that might take care of some of the problems that we've got between some of the Horsemen and the Commission. This is being done now with the ND Stockmen's Association, of the breed registry. This is not something that is foreign to the legislative body. The second thing is on moving money between the funds. I am not exactly how that's going to work. I'm interested in hearing Mr. Schmidt's testimony. I was the one that made the original motion back in 1998, at that time we were dealing with \$100,000/yr in the promotion fund and roughly \$100,000 in the breed fund and \$100,000 in the

purse fund. The reason why that motion was made, was so that we could get the thoroughbred and quarterhorse races moved into the black type race. So that they become nationally recognized horses in ND and the country. The funds had pretty small balances. Now the breed fund has \$1.3 million dollars in it, and the purse fund has \$1.1 million dollars. It is important to maintain that breed fund for the person who is in the barn, foaling out those mares. If we didn't have live racing in ND anymore, the only way we would be able to keep racing going at all, for the people who have invested the money and time into it, is to have the breed fund to go back to the old way that we paid money out, which was if you ran out-of-state, you got a certain % and if you ran in-state in got a certain %. It's a complicated issue. The third thing on the bill was the owner's fund. The way it is set up now, we had problems with it. If you have a horse that runs it out-of-state, at one time, if the owner of the horse didn't apply for the funds, the breeder couldn't get their share etc. even though both horses were in the fund. You couldn't get that money, simply from the fact that the owner didn't apply for it. Then we decided that shouldn't be that way. If you're in the fund, you're in the fund and you're entitled to the money. It is my understanding that for some reason we went back to the same system I spoke about earlier. This is a good bill. This should have been done years ago, and we wouldn't have the problems that we have now. Some of these things may need to be fixed through administrative rules and possibly offer some amendments.

**Chairman DeKrey:** Thank you. Further testimony in support. Testimony in opposition.

**Randy Blaseg, Director of Racing Commission:** (see attached testimony).

**Rep. Delmore:** Do we have an automatic system now that does any of the recording. I am assuming that you go out and do the verification on each of the foals as they are born. Do you have a system that you can bring that up on.

**Randy Blaseg:** We have approximately 4,000 horses at this time entered into the database. We have been working on this steadily for the past year. We did this, in an attempt to correlate with the project that I had mentioned earlier, to enter all the data on these various brood mare, stallions, foals that have been certified, so that it will become a computer generated process.

**Rep. Delmore:** So right now you have no computer generated process for recording any of this now.

**Randy Blaseg:** We do have these horses in the database so we can generate the list.

**Rep. Delmore:** A computer based system, you can go in and pull all of them out at any given time that you wanted from the 4,000 horses.

**Randy Blaseg:** I would be remiss in stating that we could generate the type of documentation that you may or may not desire. We have the horses entered into the database, so we can then co-mingle them that particular database which we've had composed to us.

**Rep. Delmore:** It looks to me like the last time Administrative Rules process was used by in 2002. Have you promulgated the rules, have they been adopted since you took over your job, are the rules in place that were asked for the Audit. It seems like that would be something done in your office.

**Randy Blaseg:** That's been the object in drafting a revision of the rules.

**Rep. Delmore:** So you have no system in place on the computer and you haven't drafted the administrative rules, you haven't promulgated them or turned them in.

**Randy Blaseg:** We are to the point where we are ready to move forward with this.

**Rep. Klemin:** First of all, I am still a little confused about the terminology here of this registry. In the bill, in the title it refers to the breed registry and then on line 8 it refers to the ND Bred Registry. Then in your administrative rule it refers to the ND Stallion and Brood Mare Registry. Is there more than one registry or only one.



**Randy Blaseg:** Breed registry typically refers to a specific breed; the thoroughbred breed, the quarter horse breed, there's different registration processes you go through to register those two separate breeds. ND bred registry has them in one unit. In other words, you can be a thoroughbred or quarterhorse and when you follow this particular process you are simply certifying those horses to participate as a certified ND bred racehorse.

**Rep. Klemin:** Before you go on, what about the Stallion and Brood Mare registry. Is that something else.

**Randy Blaseg:** The stallions and brood mares have to be entered into the fund, so that when a foal is conceived by a particular stallion, and foaled by a particular mare, then the owners of those, will then receive awards.

**Rep. Klemin:** As I understand it, there is a registry for each breed.

**Randy Blaseg:** Yes.

**Rep. Klemin:** Then there is one registry that covers all the horses bred in ND.

**Randy Blaseg:** That would be correct.

**Rep. Klemin:** So they are both right.

**Randy Blaseg:** That's right.

**Rep. Onstad:** In the audit report, it says the worksheets reviewed prepared by hand. Is that still being done. Then it says that there are triplicate form, could it be combined into one.

**Randy Blaseg:** That was the process that was being followed. We are in the process of changing that.

**Rep. Onstad:** That's a problem that I have. The racing commission has been here every session that I have sat in this committee. They've always made the comment we're working on that, etc. Two weeks ago the Racing Commission was here and said you were getting dollars to promulgate the rules and you said yourself said we're working on that, or we're going

to start on that. You hadn't started on it yet, you were going to start on it. If I take what you're saying now as we're working on that, and then I'll go back to your testimony and it says if we're going to part of it, we might as well do all of it. Your history isn't very good when it comes to keeping your promises on when these matters are going to be taken care of. We've heard that you are working on this for three sessions in a row. We still have complaints. We haven't been able to do it all at this point, so why do you want to do all of it now.

**Randy Blaseg:** I brought with me a copy of the draft of the proposed rules. Rep. Meyer, I provided you with a copy of the draft of the proposed rules at the beginning of this session. It's truly my fullest intention to move forward with drafting these rules. I did not work on these with the intention of discarding them. I felt that it would be beneficial to the breeders of horses, and the owners of certified ND bred horses, to be paid as promptly as we could provide following the conclusion of a race. I feel that the drafting of these rules addresses that. I also felt that it was cumbersome for them to have to make a separate application to be paid the money for which they just got done winning. We eliminated that portion of the rules. We tried to address as many of the problems as were being presented to us.

**Chairman DeKrey:** How many colts are we registering a year n now.

**Randy Blaseg:** Approx. 300 applications will come in yearly.

**Rep. Meyer:** Part of the problem, and the reason this came about, and Rep. Koppelman, is much astute at this than I am in how you promulgate the rules. You aren't allowed to just sit down and make the corrections that you want. Part of the reason that this bill is here, is because the very people that this affects, you've never talked to them, had a meeting with them, they are prohibited from appearing on the agenda. They have to get an attorney to appear before the Commission. When they asked to see just a copy of the proposed rules at your December 20<sup>th</sup> meeting, they were told that as soon as you were done with it, we'll let you

see it. What you passed out is something that you are working on, without any of the people that this will affect directly, without their input on it. When you promulgating the rules, it is so extremely necessary to have people in there that these rules are going to affect. You can't just do this yourself and then expect them to accept them. That's part of the reason that HB 1324 is before us. After I received a copy of the proposed draft changes, there's some things in there that's true. But the main problem, with removing the breed registry, to give your office more time, that wasn't addressed. Have you sat down with the groups before you started this process.

**Randy Blaseg:** In June, I contacted both the president of the Quarterhorse Association and the president of the Thoroughbred Association and at that time, the providers of the simulcast.

I asked them for any and all recommendations that they would have, or could provide me with for one section of any new or different rules. The president of the Quarterhorse Association is an active member in the Horsemen's Advisory Board. I spoke with him on more than one occasion during that timeframe and from then until these were formulated, I received no recommendations and/or suggestions, nor did I from the president of the Thoroughbred Association. The Simulcast provider did provide me with a number of recommendations which were incorporated into the drafting of these rules. I disagree with your analysis that they were not addressed or involved with the formulation of these particular rules.

**Rep. Meyer:** Then can you tell me at your December 20<sup>th</sup> meeting, when they requested a copy of the proposed draft, they were denied that. They were told that as soon as it is presented to the commission, you can have a look at it. If there is open communication process, why weren't these draft rules passed out to the horsemen who requested at that meeting.

**Randy Blaseg:** I'm not aware of who you are referring to when you say "they".

**Rep. Meyer:** The Chairman of the Horsemen's Advisory Council. He'll be here later this afternoon.

**Rep. Charging:** It appears that there are some internal problems. We're hearing that the industry is in trouble. We seem to be legislating what seems to be management problems. Is there enough money right now to hire someone to do the registry.

**Randy Blaseg:** The funding for this next biennium has no provision in it for hiring this particular process, other than stating that there is funding to create a method of cataloging the horses that are certified in this fund.

**Rep. Charging:** So there isn't any money for you, the commission, to set this up and pay a 3<sup>rd</sup> party contract.

**Randy Blaseg:** That would be a process that we would have to look at the bids, the proposals that would be made to us. It's not in our budget.

**Rep. Meyer:** When you check on page 5, it states in there that every year the cost of administrating this program will be deducted, it's 5% of the breeder's fund, it pays for itself. That's where the money is at. It's on page 5, that's an automatic thing, 5% of the yearly accumulated ND breeder's fund.

**Rep. Onstad:** The auditor said the racing commission currently has \$20,000 available to purchase a program and put this in place.

**Rep. Wolf:** In your administrative rules that you're talking about modifying, you said that you are taking out the restrictions that allow for these year end distributions and you are taking out the restrictions for the people having to apply for these payments. But you're not putting it in, for example you are saying that all owners of horses are restricted with the current distribution.

Would you have an objection to putting it in there that says it will be paid out by the end of the year.

**Randy Blaseg:** I would not have a problem with changing the wording in that particular section at all, which would make it more liberal and if, and when, it could be done before the end of the year, I would hate to see it so restrictive that it wouldn't be required to have that done for one reason. It does take time to verify a lot of this information. The verification as to the owner of the horse, when he runs, the date that he races, etc. It does take a little more time on the owners of the dams and sires.

**Rep. Wolf:** You mentioned that you have this database, wouldn't that just be easy to pull it up on the database that you have.

**Randy Blaseg:** It would, the biggest issue is it is truly the busiest time of the year in horse racing. It wouldn't appear to be on the outside looking in, but it is in when we have to relicense everyone in the fall of the year. It's an issue which I would have no problem changing the wording on that. I just would like to have a little relief, so that we wouldn't be restricted entirely. I have no problem in attempting to get that done as promptly as I possibly could. I think that everyone here would admit, that we are truly trying to address several of these issues when we are drafting the rules.

**Rep. Charging:** How many races a year are there.

**Randy Blaseg:** I don't know. Mr. Schmidt handles the racing in Fargo. There are generally 8-10 races run a day.

**Rep. Charging:** So it is a complicated matter having to record all the winners, etc.

**Randy Blaseg:** You have two things, you have straight ND bred races for certified ND bred horses. They are the only ones that can compete in those races. But if a certified ND bred horse competes in open company race, he's still entitled to receive funding. So you screen all of those horses. A certified ND bred might win 3<sup>rd</sup> in a race like that, so you're screening all of the races, not just the ND certified bred races to determine who is going to receive awards.

**Rep. Klemin:** In the bill, at the bottom of page 1, it says the commission may not transfer money among the funds. We are talking about 3 funds, the breeder's fund, the purse fund, and the racing promotion fund. Does the commission transfer money among these three funds now.

**Randy Blaseg:** The commission does not transfer money among the funds. The commission awards the money from each of the funds for specific purposes. The impression that I get is that money is being utilized from the ND Bred fund to supplement purses for open horses or such as that. The money from the ND breeder's fund is allocated strictly for the benefit of ND certified bred race horses.

**Rep. Klemin:** So if this bill says not to transfer money among the funds. It's not any different from what you are doing.

**Randy Blaseg:** That's correct.

**Rep. Meyer:** Randy, you stated that the time involved with this, wouldn't you feel like if we removed this breed registry and put it on a computer program that would give your office a great deal of time, it would still have to be certified like it is now, but that would really free up a lot of your time, so in the four months you could, if you the correct computer program, these are being done. When the last horse leaves the gate on Labor Day weekend, you would know who the sire is, the dam is, and who gets paid. It's not a difficult thing to do and many other states are doing it. If we would remove the breed registry that could free up almost 12-14 weeks. Wouldn't that be a good thing.

**Randy Blaseg:** It has been the feeling of the Racing Commission that the fund is handled appropriately within the racing commission. If they had elected to have it be handled by an independent authority, I assume they would have done so.

**Rep. Meyer:** Has the commission taken a stance on this bill.

**Randy Blaseg:** The commission has not had a meeting to discuss this bill and make such a commitment as you are stating as far as having a vote.

**Rep. Meyer:** So you are here on your own behalf.

**Randy Blaseg:** I am representing the past interpretation of the commission involving awarding this to an independent agency.

**Rep. Meyer:** Their past intent were the changes made in the racing commission, they would have to be looking at this specific piece of legislation if they are going to weigh in on it. If you were representing the commission, they would have definitely have needed to get together and vote on it.

**Chairman DeKrey:** Thank you. Further testimony in opposition.

**Les Schmidt:** (see attached testimony). I am neutral. I have seen many changes since I've been in the racing industry in ND. I know how important the three funds are, especially to the breed fund.

**Rep. Meyer:** Previously, we heard that monies are not transferred between these funds, and you just gave us an example of how they are. The breed fund when you put it into the purse fund, regardless if that is shoring it up. Well, if you've got a MN horse that comes out and wins that race that is shoring up your purse fund. My point being in this, is that the monies are transferred between the funds. The breeders fund isn't held exclusively for breed racers and points.

**Les Schmidt:** Yes, it is. The money that we allocate from the breed fund, is ruled and regulated by the entrance of only ND bred certified horses in that race. The statement that the \$2500 purse for that race, comes out of the ND bred race money. Only ND certified bred horses can run in that race. A MN horse can't run in it. It can't be done. We get manipulated once in a while on these races too. First of all, we have to offer a quarter horse race and a

thoroughbred ND bred race at our race meet every day. It has to be offered for racing. At 10:00 am, if we don't have those races filled, we have the option to substitute those races with open races. But the open races then do not qualify for the ND bred money that was allocated for that day. Only ND bred horses qualify for that money.

**Rep. Meyer:** It is possible that you can ride a race so that ND horses can't qualify. We have a lot of ND bred horses that you can write a stipulation on a race so it virtually eliminates a lot of ND bred horses, and then they run off in the open. In the transferring of the funds, they are transferred from the purse fund to your breeder's fund, regardless. The breed fund gets transferred into the purse fund.

**Les Schmidt:** The breed fund is used for specific breed fund purposes.

**Rep. Klemin:** You're saying that the breeders' fund money is paid out throughout the season as you go along right after each race.

**Les Schmidt:** Yes.

**Rep. Klemin:** It's not something that you wait until the end of the year to make the distribution to everyone.

**Les Schmidt:** No. The first part of that question is, the breed point money that is allocated to us for breed point races is given out after the race date. Above that, you earn breed points besides that. Those breed points are given out later in the year.

**Chairman DeKrey:** Thank you. Further testimony in opposition.

**Jim Tilton:** I'm a former president of Horserace ND and presently on the executive board for Horserace ND. My concern with this bill is the fact that we're really in a very notable transition period with regard to the racing commission and the fact that you realize that, as far as the racing commission, in the past 2.5 years, we've had three racing directors. That, of course, causes some dilemma with regard to getting things done. I would speak to the fact that the



question arises with regard to Mr. Blaseg and why these changes haven't been done. As you well know, when you come into a situation like the racing commission, the first six months is a probationary period and so consequently during the probationary period, you tend to try and keep yourself as far under the radar as you can and so consequently, it's not a time when you start making a whole lot of changes. Another thing that was pointed out here, is that there was money set aside \$5,000 in the 2004 legislature for the promulgation of some of these changes. I asked the question, why weren't those changes made before. Why weren't the changes made before 2005, when Mr. Blaseg came in. I think we see an effort on his part to make these changes. I'm really concerned about the fact that we're going to take 5% of a million dollars, over \$50,000 and put that with another agency so that they can develop a computer program that really is in the process of being developed. We have this database. Since the information is in that database, I think that actually can be pulled out of there and then you can access these records. I would really think that this would not be in the interest of racing to take that \$50,000 away and especially if that got to be an annual situation.

**Rep. Meyer:** That is currently being done. It's written right in the rules here that is done every year. It is automatically done, it's 5% of the yearly accumulated ND breeders' fund. That, in no way, changes the amount or anything. That money is there. This just simplifies the process. It doesn't create another agency, it's just that the money is there, there is no additional funds that aren't being taken now.

**Jim Tilton:** If it doesn't create another agency, where is this work going to be handled by. Is this still going to be handled by the commission or is it an offshoot of the commission that they have to subcontract this, which does bring in another agency.

**Rep. Meyer:** It would be out on a contractual basis, the same way it is being done in many agencies, done automatically. It's mostly data entry. It's a very simple data entry process. I could do it at home on my computer.

**Jim Tilton:** I understand. I just reiterate my position. I believe if we give the commission and Mr. Blaseg an opportunity to move forward with the changes that he is in the process of making in this period of time, and with the present commission, that they can get this job done.

**Rep. Delmore:** It's my understanding that this does not have a computer system to track it. My other concern is how long has Mr. Blaseg been with the commission, you talk about 6 months. The administrative rules are very important procedure to follow through. You can go back to 2002 or 2004, but we're in 2007. I think he's well past the six months when he could have promulgated the rules, gone before the committee and taken care of some of the concerns that created this bill.

**Jim Tilton:** That is correct, he is past the six months. The situation I believe there, that there has been a tremendous amount of time spent with regard to the simulcast situation and trying to get some of the situations straightened out and that required a considerable amount of time and effort on the part of the commission to get those things done. So from there, we went directly into the racing that started the last week in July and went through the racing up until the second week in September and then right away we're into this period of time.

**Rep. Delmore:** This is something I supported my entire legislative career. I think it is good for ND. But I am very concerned with some of the things that have come out. We all have good intentions for things to do, and we all have a job to do.

**Jim Tilton:** I agree with you. If you look at the amount of time and energy spent, there have been a number of things that were accomplished. I think there are certainly more things that

need to be worked out. Given time with the present racing commission as it exists, that those things will be accomplished.

**Chairman DeKrey:** Thank you. Further testimony in opposition. We will close the hearing.

## 2007 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE MINUTES

Bill/Resolution No. HB 1324

House Judiciary Committee

Check here for Conference Committee

Hearing Date: 1/31/07

Recorder Job Number: 2462

Committee Clerk Signature



Minutes:

**Chairman DeKrey:** We will take a look at HB 1324.

**Rep. Meyer:** Today, everyone was emailed from Rob Lynch, he is the Executive Director of the ND Horse Park, and they are not opposing this bill. He wanted to indicate to the committee that when Mr. Schmidt and Mr. Tilton spoke in opposition, they were not representing the ND Horse Park. He said they can get up and testify as citizens, but he is the only official lobbyist for the ND Horse Park and they are not in opposition on HB 1324. Just so that's clarified he sent it to me and said to make sure that the committee knew. It's long overdue. On Section 1, with the Breed Registry. Just to clarify a few things. The only thing that this does is require the Racing Commission to contract this out. It's very similar to the ND Stockmen's Association. They have a breed registry; Wade Moser does not sit and write out the registry in long hand in triplicate for every person that applies for a cattle breed registry. It's foolish and a complete waste of time. That's all this does, is contract that out to the lowest bidder. This removes the breed registry from that office. This has been requested that this be done since 2001, now we're 6 years later and still hasn't been done. It's causing a huge backlog in the office, which is the reason then, when you go over here, that this isn't being handled right, the money isn't being paid out during the same season that they are won in. If you win the breed

