

# MICROFILM DIVIDER

OMB/RECORDS MANAGEMENT DIVISION  
SFN 2053 (2/85) 5M



ROLL NUMBER

DESCRIPTION

1158

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

*Dennis G. Ball*  
Operator's Signature

10/2/03  
Date

2003 HOUSE AGRICULTURE

HB 1158

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

*Deanna G. Bell*  
Operator's Signature

10/2/03  
Date

2003 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE MINUTES

BILL/RESOLUTION NO. HB 1158

House Agriculture Committee

Conference Committee

Hearing Date 1-17-03

Tape Number	Side A	Side B	Meter #
ONE	A		40.1 TO 32.0
Committee Clerk Signature <i>Edward D. Clifton</i>			

Minutes:

CHAIRMAN NICHOLAS : We will open the hearing on HB 1158. We will take testimony from those in favor of the Bill first.

JIM GRAY: Good morning Chairman Nicholas and Agriculture Committee. My name is Jim Gray, Pesticide Registration Coordinator with the North Dakota Department of Agriculture. I am here to provide testimony in support of HB 1158. {{{{PLEASE SEE ATTACHED TESTIMONY OF JIM GRAY}}}} One of the more important part of the Bill deals with the pesticide registration period. Up until recently, pesticide registrations were issued annually. We had a little over eight thousand registrants in the state, and so our staff was forced to reissue these licenses annually. Several years ago the legislators change 1918 to allow that to occur every other year. The way the language reads now the registration is good from January one to December thirty one of the following year. The problem with that it dose not designate a start and end of that period. The language has been amended to clarify

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

*Donna Baller*  
Operator's Signature

1/21/03  
Date

Page 2  
House Agriculture Committee  
Bill/Resolution Number HB 1158  
Hearing Date 1-17-03

REP. BELTER : I want to question you on time tables of registration. You say all chemicals are registered or reregistered by the same day. All eight thousand registrants expired the 31st of last year. All registrations are going to be brought one time., We only have to process registrations at one time. The re-registration process consists of the department receiving the upcoming registration fee's It is mostly a processing . It is much more efficient and easier for the department to process all registrations under a short period of time. Our renewal period usually starts around November prior to expiration so we have about two and a half months to process those registrants. It is much easier to do it at one time.

CHAIRMAN NICHOLAS : Any other questions committee members.

Ok thanks Jim. Anyone else wishing to offer comments on HB1158?

MERLIN LEITHOLD: I represent the NORTH DAKOTA WEED CONTROL ASSOCIATION.

I am before you this morning in favor of HB 1158. {{{{{{Please see printed testimony}}}}}

CHAIRMAN NICHOLAS : Any other testimony? Anyone here in opposition to HB 1158?

CHAIRMAN NICHOLAS : We will consider a motion on HB 1158.

ACTION TAKEN WAS A DO PASS.....MOTION WAS MADE BY REPRESENTATIVE  
KELSCH AND WAS SECONDED BY REPRESENTATIVE MUELLER.

VOTE WAS 13 YES

VOTE WAS 0 NO

VOTE WAS 0 ABSENT

BILL WAS CARRIED BY REPRESENTATIVE UGLEM

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

Deanna Bell  
Operator's Signature

1/2/03  
Date

**FISCAL NOTE**  
 Requested by Legislative Council  
 03/21/2003

Amendment to: HB 1158

1A. **State fiscal effect:** *Identify the state fiscal effect and the fiscal effect on agency appropriations compared to funding levels and appropriations anticipated under current law.*

	2001-2003 Biennium		2003-2005 Biennium		2005-2007 Biennium	
	General Fund	Other Funds	General Fund	Other Funds	General Fund	Other Funds
Revenues	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Expenditures	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Appropriations	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

1B. **County, city, and school district fiscal effect:** *Identify the fiscal effect on the appropriate political subdivision.*

2001-2003 Biennium			2003-2005 Biennium			2005-2007 Biennium		
Counties	Cities	School Districts	Counties	Cities	School Districts	Counties	Cities	School Districts
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

2. **Narrative:** *Identify the aspects of the measure which cause fiscal impact and include any comments relevant to your analysis.*

The amendment which removes the penalty results in no fiscal impact for this bill.

3. **State fiscal effect detail:** *For information shown under state fiscal effect in 1A, please:*

A. **Revenues:** *Explain the revenue amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, for each revenue type and fund affected and any amounts included in the executive budget.*

B. **Expenditures:** *Explain the expenditure amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, for each agency, line item, and fund affected and the number of FTE positions affected.*

C. **Appropriations:** *Explain the appropriation amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, of the effect on the biennial appropriation for each agency and fund affected and any amounts included in the executive budget. Indicate the relationship between the amounts shown for expenditures and appropriations.*

No additional appropriations expected.

<b>Name:</b>	Jeff Welspfenning	<b>Agency:</b>	Agriculture
<b>Phone Number:</b>	701.328.2231	<b>Date Prepared:</b>	03/21/2003

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

*Deanna Baller*  
 Operator's Signature

10/2/03  
 Date

**FISCAL NOTE**  
 Requested by Legislative Council  
 01/03/2003

Bill/Resolution No.: HB 1158

**1A. State fiscal effect:** Identify the state fiscal effect and the fiscal effect on agency appropriations compared to funding levels and appropriations anticipated under current law.

	2001-2003 Biennium		2003-2005 Biennium		2005-2007 Biennium	
	General Fund	Other Funds	General Fund	Other Funds	General Fund	Other Funds
Revenues	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$500	\$0	\$500
Expenditures	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Appropriations	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

**1B. County, city, and school district fiscal effect:** Identify the fiscal effect on the appropriate political subdivision.

2001-2003 Biennium			2003-2005 Biennium			2005-2007 Biennium		
Counties	Cities	School Districts	Counties	Cities	School Districts	Counties	Cities	School Districts
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

**2. Narrative:** Identify the aspects of the measure which cause fiscal impact and include any comments relevant to your analysis.

The fiscal impact is expected to be approximately \$500 per biennium. The Department does not often request pesticide use reports from registrants and has only been refused once in the past four years by a registrant requested to provide the information. The present statute does not establish a reasonable timeline for providing the requested data, and there are no penalties for not supplying the data.

**3. State fiscal effect detail:** For information shown under state fiscal effect in 1A, please:

**A. Revenues:** Explain the revenue amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, for each revenue type and fund affected and any amounts included in the executive budget.

Additional revenue to the Environmental and Rangeland Protection Fund would be approximately \$500 per biennium.

**B. Expenditures:** Explain the expenditure amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, for each agency, line item, and fund affected and the number of FTE positions affected.

No additional expenditures expected.

**C. Appropriations:** Explain the appropriation amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, of the effect on the biennial appropriation for each agency and fund affected and any amounts included in the executive budget. Indicate the relationship between the amounts shown for expenditures and appropriations.

No additional appropriations expected.

<b>Name:</b>	Jeff K. Weispfenning	<b>Agency:</b>	Agriculture Department
<b>Phone Number:</b>	328-4758	<b>Date Prepared:</b>	01/07/2003

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

*Donna Holbrook*  
 Operator's Signature

1/2/03  
 Date

HB 1158

Date:  
Roll Call Vote #:

2003 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE ROLL CALL VOTES  
BILL/RESOLUTION NO.

House AGRICULTURE COMMITTEE

Check here for Conference Committee

Legislative Council Amendment Number \_\_\_\_\_

Action Taken DO PASS

Motion Made By Kelch Seconded By Mueller

Representatives	Yes	No	Representatives	Yes	No
CHAIRMAN NICHOLAS	✓				
VICE CHAIRMAN POLLERT	✓				
REPRESENTATIVE BELTER	✓				
REPRESENTATIVE BOEHNING	✓				
REPRESENTATIVE KELSCH	✓				
REPRESENTATIVE KINGSBURY	✓				
REPRESENTATIVE KREIDT	✓				
REPRESENTATIVE UGLEM	✓				
REPRESENTATIVE WRANGHAM	✓				
REPRESENTATIVE BOE	✓				
REPRESENTATIVE FROELICH	✓				
REPRESENTATIVE MUELLER	✓				
REPRESENTATIVE ONSTAD	✓				

Total (Yes) 13 No 0

Absent 0

Floor Assignment UGLEM

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

Donna Ballantyne  
Operator's Signature

10/2/03  
Date

