

# MICROFILM DIVIDER

OMB/RECORDS MANAGEMENT DIVISION  
SFN 2053 (2/85) 5M



ROLL NUMBER

DESCRIPTION

1122

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

*Doreen M. Hall*  
Operator's Signature

10/2/03  
Date

2003 HOUSE NATURAL RESOURCES

HB 1122

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

*Dorena G. Ballantyne*  
Operator's Signature

10/2/03  
Date

2003 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE MINUTES

BILL/RESOLUTION NO. 1122

House Natural Resources Committee

Conference Committee

Hearing Date January 17, 2003

Tape Number	Side A	Side B	Meter #
1	xx		5,023-end
		xx	0-3580
Committee Clerk Signature <i>Erin Meyer</i>			

Minutes:

**Rep. Keiser:** Spoke on behalf of HB 1122 as the sponsor of the bill. Adds to habitat management funds. Gives a chance to everyone to get a mule deer license.

**Rep. Hanson:** Would you oppose splitting the licenses?

**Rep. Keiser:** I would not care speak on their behalf. Game and fish did recommend having one piece of legislation instead of multiple pieces of legislation. We need to be consistent. As we allow various groups to raffle of auction licenses.

**Rep. Norland:** When the tag is issued is it statewide or area specific?

**Rep. Keiser:** That is a question for game and fish.

**Brandon Mason:** Mule Deer Foundation testified on behalf of HB 1122. (See Attached Testimony).

**Chair Nelson:** Is the badlands chapter the only chapter in the state?

**Brandon Mason:** Yes

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

*Deanna Holbrook*  
Operator's Signature

*10/2/03*  
Date

Page 2  
House Natural Resources Committee  
Bill/Resolution Number 1122  
Hearing Date January 17, 2003

**Chair Nelson:** What is your Budget?

**Brandon Mason:** It varies from year to year.

**Chair Nelson:** Will you partner with other state institutions.

**Brandon Mason:** The goal is to increase the mule deer population.

**Chair Nelson:** These tags would be for ND projects only.

**Brandon Mason:** That is correct.

**Rep. Drovdal:** I applaud your mission statement but your opening statement claims a dwindling herd. I disagree. How do you determine who gets the funding?

**Brandon Mason:** ND game and Fish Dept. Has given out a record number of licenses. The mule deer tags are not being issued as often. Habitat needs improvement. They need to be rejuvenated or replaced. The first step is going to or volunteer board. We work with state and federal agencies to determine need. We go through all approval process. The National Mule Deer Board reviews all projects.

**Rep. Drovdal:** I do take exception with your statement about national grasslands. I have a difficult time driving home from mule deer strikes.

**Chair Nelson:** Do you have an elected board?

**Brandon Mason:** Handled out of Reno, NV. They are often biologists with a background in wildlife management.

**Less Mason (1300):** Spoke on behalf of 1122.

**Rep. Drovdal:** Can you guarantee me that granting these funds will be utilized to remove grazing from our national grasslands.

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for processing and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

*Donna G. Baller*  
Operator's Signature

10/2/03  
Date

Page 3  
House Natural Resources Committee  
Bill/Resolution Number 1122  
Hearing Date January 17, 2003

**Brandon Mason:** We have no intention of taking any ones grazing rights. We want to work with cattlemen. Well managed grazing is a good wildlife management tool.

**Chair Nelson:** You should have landowner input.

**Rep. Keiser:** The national group only can acceptor reject projects the local groups submit.

**Brandon Mason:** Yes

**Harold Neameyer:** Cass county wildlife club. (See Attached Testimony)

**Chair Nelson:** Would nonresident winners of the raffle bother your group.

**Harold Neameyer:** That is a personal feeling by an individual. They would lose two licenses.

**Rep. Norland:** These would be new licenses.

**Mike Donahue:** United Sportsman and Wildlife. We are even more opposed to this bill than before. The issues surrounding the loss of 2 tags and the lack of local control are serious issues. How can you preclude someone from the raffle.

**Rep. Keiser:** Are you or your groups opposed to money in wildlife management.

**Mike Donahue:** I have to discuss this further with them this weekend.

**Rep. Keiser:** Are you opposed to Private Public Partnerships?

**Rep. Hanson:** Would you be opposed to this bill if there were just one tag?

**Mike Donahue:** I will ask them that as well.

**Rep. Nottestad:** Where are you groups head quartered?

**Mike Donahue:** They are out of state.

**David Musch:** Citizen spoke out against HB 1122

**Chair Nelson** closed the meeting.

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

  
Operator's Signature

10/2/03  
Date

2003 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE MINUTES

BILL/RESOLUTION NO. 1122

House Natural Resources Committee

Conference Committee

Hearing Date January 17, 2003

Tape Number	Side A	Side B	Meter #
2	xx		1,692
Committee Clerk Signature <i>Erin M...</i>			

Minutes:

**Chair Nelson:** reopened the hearing on HB 1122.

**Rep. Hanson:** I propose to amend to change it from two to one.

**Rep. DeKrey seconded. Passed by voice Vote.**

**Rep Porter** proposed to add constituent amendments. **Rep. Keiser Seconded.**

**Rep. Porter:** Clarified the implications for multiple applications for licenses with the raffle in existence.

Additional discussions on wording of amendments.

**Passed by voice vote.**

**Rep. Droydal:** Expressed concern about oil exploration effects from this bill.

**Rep. Dekrey** motioned for a recommendation of **Do Pass** on HB 1122. **Hanson Seconded.**

**Vote was 13 Yes, 1 No, 0 Absent. Rep. Hanson Carries.**

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for storage and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

*Danna Holbrook*  
Operator's signature

1/21/03  
Date

**FISCAL NOTE**  
 Requested by Legislative Council  
 01/21/2003

Amendment to: HB 1122

1A. **State fiscal effect:** Identify the state fiscal effect and the fiscal effect on agency appropriations compared to funding levels and appropriations anticipated under current law.

	2001-2003 Biennium		2003-2005 Biennium		2005-2007 Biennium	
	General Fund	Other Funds	General Fund	Other Funds	General Fund	Other Funds
Revenues				(\$40)		(\$40)
Expenditures						
Appropriations						

1B. **County, city, and school district fiscal effect:** Identify the fiscal effect on the appropriate political subdivision.

2001-2003 Biennium			2003-2005 Biennium			2005-2007 Biennium		
Counties	Cities	School Districts	Counties	Cities	School Districts	Counties	Cities	School Districts

2. **Narrative:** Identify the aspects of the measure which cause fiscal impact and include any comments relevant to your analysis.

This bill would take two licenses for the Mule Deer Foundation each biennium. These would cost \$20 if issued in the regular license lottery.

3. **State fiscal effect detail:** For information shown under state fiscal effect in 1A, please:

A. **Revenues:** Explain the revenue amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, for each revenue type and fund affected and any amounts included in the executive budget.

B. **Expenditures:** Explain the expenditure amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, for each agency, line item, and fund affected and the number of FTE positions affected.

C. **Appropriations:** Explain the appropriation amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, of the effect on the biennial appropriation for each agency and fund affected and any amounts included in the executive budget. Indicate the relationship between the amounts shown for expenditures and appropriations.

<b>Name:</b>	Paul Schadewald	<b>Agency:</b>	ND Game and Fish Department
<b>Phone Number:</b>	328-6328	<b>Date Prepared:</b>	01/21/2003

The micrographic images on this film are accurate reproductions of records delivered to Modern Information Systems for microfilming and were filmed in the regular course of business. The photographic process meets standards of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for archival microfilm. NOTICE: If the filmed image above is less legible than this Notice, it is due to the quality of the document being filmed.

*Paul Schadewald*  
 Operator's Signature

01/21/03  
 Date

