

**FISCAL NOTE**  
**Requested by Legislative Council**  
01/16/2007

Bill/Resolution No.: HB 1455

1A. **State fiscal effect:** *Identify the state fiscal effect and the fiscal effect on agency appropriations compared to funding levels and appropriations anticipated under current law.*

	2005-2007 Biennium		2007-2009 Biennium		2009-2011 Biennium	
	General Fund	Other Funds	General Fund	Other Funds	General Fund	Other Funds
<b>Revenues</b>	\$0	\$0	\$0	(\$99,100)	\$0	(\$99,100)
<b>Expenditures</b>	\$0	\$4,000	\$0	\$72,000	\$0	\$76,320
<b>Appropriations</b>	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

1B. **County, city, and school district fiscal effect:** *Identify the fiscal effect on the appropriate political subdivision.*

2005-2007 Biennium			2007-2009 Biennium			2009-2011 Biennium		
Counties	Cities	School Districts	Counties	Cities	School Districts	Counties	Cities	School Districts
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

2A. **Bill and fiscal impact summary:** *Provide a brief summary of the measure, including description of the provisions having fiscal impact (limited to 300 characters).*

We have 702 Whol/manf/dists licensed now. This bill would exempt 126 of those and make another 536 of them ineligible, including all of those located within North Dakota. We would be required to inspect the rest, wherever they are located.

**B. Fiscal impact sections:** *Identify and provide a brief description of the sections of the measure which have fiscal impact. Include any assumptions and comments relevant to the analysis.*

43-15.3-03 would exempt 126 of our current licensees and make another 536 of them ineligible, as there are currently only 40 VAWD with none of those located within North Dakota. We would be required to inspect the rest, wherever they are located. The background check provision would be paid for by the applicants, so should have no net fiscal impact.

3. **State fiscal effect detail:** *For information shown under state fiscal effect in 1A, please:*

**A. Revenues:** *Explain the revenue amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, for each revenue type and fund affected and any amounts included in the executive budget.*

Revenue for the board of pharmacy would decrease, as many of our current licensees would be exempt and others would be ineligible. The 40 left would bring in only \$6000 under current license fees of \$150 per year.

**B. Expenditures:** *Explain the expenditure amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, for each agency, line item, and fund affected and the number of FTE positions affected.*

The board would need to retain an inspector at about half time, if the current inspection criteria stand

**C. Appropriations:** *Explain the appropriation amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, for each agency and fund affected. Explain the relationship between the amounts shown for expenditures and appropriations. Indicate whether the appropriation is also included in the executive budget or relates to a continuing appropriation.*

No appropriations should be necessary, as the Boards licensing program should be self sustaining.

<b>Name:</b>	Howard Anderson	<b>Agency:</b>	Board of Pharmacy
--------------	-----------------	----------------	-------------------

**Phone Number:** 328-9535

**Date Prepared:** 01/19/2007