

2009 HOUSE GOVERNMENT AND VETERANS AFFAIRS

HB 1403

2009 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE MINUTES

Bill/Resolution No. HB 1403

House Government and Veterans Affairs Committee

Check here for Conference Committee

Hearing Date: 1/23/2009

Recorder Job Number: 7659

Committee Clerk Signature

Melissa M. Erhardt

Minutes:

Chairman Grande: Opened meeting. Clerk read title to HB 1403.

Rep. Kasper: HB 1403 is a simple little bill. Over the course of the next biennium, a new Governor's residence will be constructed. Some people ask me are you serious about this bill? As a matter of fact, I am quite serious about this bill. As I have been involved in government through my legislative experience, and even before then. When I have traveled to the capital and driven past where the governor's residence is, I have thought to myself, that just doesn't make the type of statement that I think the State of ND should be making not only to the citizens of our State, but to the rest of the people that come to visit our great State. And so, as time has gone on, and we have had our huge

surplus that we all know we have, which has gone down a little bit since the last number of months. But not gone as large as we thought.

When is the time, if we are ever going to make a change, to propose the change? And I felt it was right now. This is a one-time expenditure not an on-going sustainable expenditure, as you realize. I think what we need to think about with this bill is, What type of statement do we want to make to the people who come to our Capital Grounds, as far as our Governor's, not status, but presence is concerned? I look upon the Governor's home as the people's home, because Governor's come and Governor's go but the home will be here a long time. As you know the present residence was built in 1959 and 1960, that's over 50 years ago.

I wonder because the Capital Grounds Planning Commission is in charge of building on the Capital Grounds, what process they went through back in those days that the residence was built. Because in my opinion, it does not match with the aesthetics of our other buildings on our Capital Grounds. This bill will give the power to the Capital Grounds Planning Commission to build a new residence.

Just to clear the record some as far as the process, some people said “Did you talk to the Governor before you put the bill in?” Frankly, no, I did not. I did not feel it would be fair position to put the Governor in to ask him if he wanted a new residence. Any Governor would probably answer “No, I don’t need one.” That’s a natural answer. So when the Governor was asked whether or not he felt this building should be built he said “No the residence is fine”. Well, what else could he say? The residence is a nice residence. There is nothing wrong as far as being a residence, but I think the Governor’s residence is more than simply a place where the Governor lives and his family lives, if he or she is married. The residence should be in my opinion, a place where, when people come to visit our State, we have dignitaries coming from all over the country and all over the world. ND has become a global player in the last 10 years. When people come to our State one of the first impressions of our State will be when they go to the Governor’s residence, what do they think? We have all been there so we know that when we go at the invitation of Governor as Legislators, the area that he can entertain is very small. It’s not very flexible, doesn’t have

room for meeting rooms, doesn't have room for the proper type of entertainment room that the Governor should have available to him or her when the time is appropriate. At this point in time, this bill is going to ask that the legislature appropriate up to \$3 million for the Capital Grounds Planning Commission to then design and then build a Governor's residence.

I called Legislative Council to ask who was on the Capital Grounds Planning Commission. On that commission are four legislators, appointed by Legislative Council I would believe, and Chairman Grande is one of four. The other three at this time are Rep. Mary Eckstrom, Sen. Christmann, and Sen. Horne, a bi-partisan legislative representation. Then we have Lt. Governor chair's at the Capital Grounds Planning Commission and we have on that commission, two Michael Burns, out of Fargo, both of whom are architects. Then there is a Merle Papparud with the Historical Society, Lisa Carlson is a citizen member who works in the health care industry. Doug Prachal, a citizen member, and then John Boyle, a facilities manager is on that commission. So the process would be, if the bill would pass is that the

Capital Grounds Planning Commission would be in charge of determining where the residence would be located, the plan design and so on. Then they would sign a contract and move forward and could spend up to \$3 million. I see things where you could have competition with the architects in our State, so many proposals, get more citizen involvement as well. It could even be in a different location. In joking with, Lt. Governor Dalrymple a couple weeks ago, I asked "Lt. Governor where do you live?" He said "I live in Bismarck and I have my own residence". You don't have a place on the Capital Grounds? He said "No". (Ensuing conversation with Lt Governor.)

So, Chairman Grande and Committee Members that is my intent and that is what the bill does. One other question had come up, Could we accept public gifts to help defray the costs? As a matter of fact we can. We could theoretically have the whole amount raised by public donations and I would not object to that, therefore, we would not spend the appropriated the dollars. The Capital Grounds Planning Commission could get involved in that and that could all be determined

as the process goes on. Chairman Grande and members of the committee that concludes my testimony.

I asked John Boyle to come and give an overview of all his planning commission minutes but I certainly would answer any questions.

Chairman Grande: State Council on the Arts, are they an expert on the monuments and memorials on the structures on the grounds, is that why you choose them to be a part of that?

Rep. Kasper: I don't believe that they would be part of it. It is the Capital Grounds Planning Commission that would be a part of it. If you look at Line 8, it says that the Capital Grounds Planning Commission shall confer with the State Council of the Arts with respect to the artistic value of monuments, memorials, or works of art. That's not a residence. If you look at Line 11, and with qualified consultants retained by up to select sites for buildings, facilities, and monuments. They certainly could consult with the arts council if they so desired, but I don't see where they are required to because we are looking at building sites.

Chairman Grande: The “shall” is what you see as permissive, not mandatory?

Rep. Kasper: That is the way I read it. Again, that is why the Planning Commission has the authority to do what it requires and desires. I don’t want to limit it to anybody.

Chairman Grande: In the Powers and Duties, you want the Capital Grounds Planning Commission to find four more members to be on the Capital Grounds Planning Commission?

Rep. Kasper: Four more public figures to be involved in this project.

Chairman Grande: Is that permissive language or expected?

Rep. Kasper: Declaratory; The Governor’s residence is under the construction committee, consists of the members of the Capital Grounds Planning Commission and four other members selected by the CGPC. Think that’s pretty clear in its own manner.

Chairman Grande: Just wanted to make sure that was your intent.

Rep. Froseth: Don’t know if I can support this bill at this time, you mentioned a project like this could be a worthy project to accept

donations and corporate contributions to it. Did you give any thought

to putting this on a long range plan where the money could be raised in advance through donations? Then go ahead with a project like this. If this calls for the State spending up to \$3 million, think we could use it for more feasible projects.

Rep. Kasper: That is worthy of consideration. The idea behind the bill in its present form is we have a budget surplus of over a \$1 billion.

This is the time, in my opinion, to take a small amount, from that surplus and build the residence. If the committee decides to amend, hope that we can discuss it. I have no objection. The idea is to begin the process to build a new Governor's residence. It may take two years or four years. Time is not a major factor, it's the fact, that I think the time is right.

Rep. Meier: Are you aware of current square footage of the Governor's mansion? What do you envision for square footage for a new Governor's mansion?

Rep. Kasper: What my vision is may not be your vision, or the legislative bodies vision, or the CPGC vision. But when I think in my mind's eye, what it would be like, I think of the aesthetic beauty on our

Capital Grounds. The library with the tall building, with the colonnades, and the concrete. That's why I am not an architect, don't know the construction costs, so we are going to probably need more dollars than for a normal construction for a home so \$3 million should be adequate. The bill does not say you must spend \$3 million, it says up to \$3 million. But I envision something sort of like the White House. Something that can stand for 100 years or longer.

Rep. Schneider: Along the lines of Rep. Froseth's question? If we pass just the establish of the committee without the appropriation, do you think it would be more persuadable to the public and to the rest of Legislative Assembly if we had blueprints and plans and said look what we want to build? Would that be an easier sell in your opinion?

Rep. Kasper: Certainly, it would be. I have not had many emails in the last week and a half on this bill. But I have had a number of emails and I would say 65% said, "What is wrong with you?" We need the money other places? The response there is, We all know when we leave this Legislative Session we are still going to have money left in the bank and we are going to solve and fund all the needs. The other

35% were "It's about time". We need to make a change. To answer your question, again, we will have our discussion in committee on whether or not whether we should move in that direction and we will debate and see where the bill goes. I'm amenable to that discussion and I want the bill to move forward and see what the Senate would like to do with it and if appropriation has to come out and we put a time line in that's the way it will have to be. There is nothing magic about the \$3 million. It could even read, if the gifts are raised, we will allow the new residence to be built.

Rep. Dahl: I saw SD Governor has a new residence and it's huge. I was wondering if you knew, did they use all public funds or did they have a hybrid of public and private funds? Or how did they get that money together?

Rep. Kasper: John Boyle will have that information. He talked to me specifically about the SD Governor's residence, which I have not seen but have heard that it is a wonderful, beautiful residence. Another reason to build a Governor's Residence, we can't let SD be ahead of us.

Rep. Froseth: Could the CGPC have the authority to go ahead with this in the planning stages and present it to the Legislative Body two years from now or four years from now or don't they have that much authority?

Chairman Grande: Wait with that question until Mr. Boyle has that opportunity to come forward?

Rep. Thoreson: Blair Thoreson, District 44 in Fargo, I am in favor of HB 1403. I know it is not easy for us to spend \$3 million to build a building. Especially when it will only be used to house one person and perhaps there family. But it is important that we have a structure on our Capital grounds that will represent this State and the Chief Executive that resides there. It is not about the person(s) who reside there now, it's about the person who is there 20 years from now, 50 years from now, and a 100 years from now. I'd like to be able to travel back in time to the late 1950's when the house that was built there? "What is wrong with you people?" "Who approved this thing?" This is not a house that is going to look good in the State of ND for the next 100 years. But unfortunately, the people that made that decision built

a house that could sit in most neighborhood in the state and not stand out. But this is a home that represents the Executive power of our State. We have a beautiful Capital that we reside in, it's timeless, it doesn't have the ornateness of other capitals or grandiose domes and that sort of thing, but it stands here and looks like it was built two weeks ago and yet it is 80 years old. I think it is time for us to step forward as a legislative body and as a people, and say, we need to have a home for our Chief Executive. We can all find the places we would like to spend the \$3 million elsewhere. I am not someone who likes to spend money, in fact, I'd probably rather give it back to the tax payer if it were up to me. But this is an invest in something which will stand for a long time that our family members will look forward and say they finally did it right. The people the last time didn't really put into this home what it should've had, now we did it right. The talk of private donations would be ok if people wanted to step forward like they did in the case of the universities and give a large gift. My only concern would be so that we don't run into something like they did in the State of Oklahoma got into. Oklahoma built a Capital building about 100

years ago and it was supposed to have a dome on it. They never did put the dome on the capital, but when there Centennial was approaching they decided to finish their Capital building and put a dome on it. They went out and raised private money, but because of the falling out between the Executive Branch and the Legislative Branch, the dome was built and when you look up at it, it has the names of different companies in it. Oil Companies, businesses, Enron Corporation, 13 or 14 different names, so if we are going to take dollars from outside places we better make sure we are going to do it the right way because you might have advertisements on there for businesses that are no longer in existence or may not fulfill their citizen responsibilities such as the one I mentioned. With that I ask this committee to give favorable approvable to this bill. I would be happy to answer any questions you may have.

Chairman Grande: Any questions of Rep. Thoreson?

John Boyle: Director of Facility Management, State Capital Grounds/Complex. Here to testify on behalf of Rep. Kasper. Let's talk a little bit about the procedures as if this bill were the past, What would

the CGPC procedures be? It would basically be the same as on any building or renovation project that occurs in the State. What we would do is go out and advertise for qualifications from architects and set a certain criteria of what is required of them to present to us. We would have a selection committee which would be formed out of the members of CGPC, as well as myself, and then we would review what was submitted to us and we would also take the top three to five selections and then we would ask them to come in and interview them.

At that time, some of the architects would bring in sketches and things to kind of influence us as to what they would do if they were selected. But most of them would just come and talk about their qualifications with projects they have worked that would be similar to this type of project. Once that was done, then we would just go through the regular process of having them go ahead and design it and have them bring us three to five examples that we would present to the CGPC, vote on which one they would like, then have them complete the drawings, go out to bid, see that the bids come in where their

supposed to with the estimate, and then go ahead and proceed with the project.

Could the CGPC planning commission go ahead and spend some money to put together to some design or three or four designs? The CGPC has \$100,000 that is kind of a recurring appropriation that they can use for any reason for a biennium. Think that a lot of that money is going to go towards redoing the mains and the panels down at the All Veterans Memorial for this 2009 – 2011 biennium. They do have the right to spend the money up to \$100,000 on that. To do something like that would probably cost about one-percent of what the project would be. If you were to spend up to \$3 million we could probably get any architect for \$30,000 to go ahead and draw something up for us. We can go ahead and choose any architect. We already have one on the planning commission who has experience in that kind of thing we may just ask him to do it at no cost. Which he has done for us.

There is a statute that says we can choose any architect as long as he is \$24,999 or less without going through that request for qualifications process.

To answer your questions about SD's Governor's residence: They did build a 14,000 square foot, out of the ground, residence. Our Governor's residence is 10,000 square feet, with 5,000 of that below ground. At the time they wanted to represent the prairie style of home because there were a lot of those in ND. That is how that came to pass.

Chairman Grande: The cost of SD's Governor's residence?

John Boyle: Just under \$3 million. There were some private funds used.

Chairman Grande: Is that something that is available?

John Boyle: Yes, I can get that for you.

Rep. Nathe: In the bidding process, would it be in State only?

John Boyle: There are two processes, request for qualifications, in ND. Advertise in the six largest papers. Once we select an architect, it can be from any state. It is based on who is the most qualified. Then when we actually bid the project we usually advertise in builders exchanges, sometimes we put it in Minneapolis, sometimes we put in Sioux Falls, SD, Fargo, and all the building exchanges throughout the

state. But the CGPC can determine if they want to only advertise in the building exchanges in ND to get the general contractor for the electrical, mechanical, and then also the general work. That would be up to them to determine where those advertisements would be placed.

Rep. Boehning: When SD built theirs did they have any qualifications for SD products? Is there anything like that in our statutes?

John Boyle: No, those are not in our statutes. But I can get that information. Actually have a call into the person in my position in SD and have not had time to return his call.

Rep. Nathe: Could commission determine to use only ND products?

John Boyle: Yes. They could determine that.

Chairman Grande: Qualifications of stones on the buildings, is that only for particular buildings or any building built?

John Boyle: Any exterior service we would want on that building.

Chairman Grande: Any other questions from the committee?

Closing the hearing on HB 1403.

2009 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE MINUTES

Bill/Resolution No. Committee Work One HB 1403


House Government and Veterans Affairs Committee

Check here for Conference Committee

Hearing Date: 01/29/2009

Recorder Job Number: 8181

Committee Clerk Signature



Minutes:

COMMITTEE WORK ONE:

Chairman Grande: We will take up HB 1403. Will take a motion.

Rep. Froseth: Move for a Do Not Pass motion.

Rep. Wolf: 2nd.

Rep. Kasper: I am proposing a hog house amendment on this bill. Talked with Rep. Carlson and said there is some excitement about my bill. There is a master plan for the capital that has not been acted on in a long time and there are some things that he thinks need to be studied. Why don't you see if the committee will go along with a study by the LC with the master plan of the Capital Grounds including a Governor's residence. Let's make it a big study and let the LC get a hold of it again. I would like to ask the committee's indulgence to get a hog house amendment to do that, we will have no money in it, it will just be a study, and let it fall up or down as it may on the floor.

Chairman Grande: Committee as Rep. Kasper has spoken that he is planning to take the money out of this I can take a couple extra days and hold on to this if I can have a motion to remove the motion.

Rep. Froseth: Remove my motion from the floor.

Rep. Wolf: Remove my 2nd.

Chairman Grande: We will hold this for a few days.

2009 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE MINUTES

Bill/Resolution No. Committee Work Two HB 1403

House Government and Veterans Affairs Committee

Check here for Conference Committee

Hearing Date: 02/05/2009

Recorder Job Number: 8803

Committee Clerk Signature

Melissa M. Erhardt

Minutes:

COMMITTEE WORK TWO:

Chairman Grande: We will take up HB 1403.

Rep. Kasper: There is amendment that is going around on HB 1403, all it does is hog house the Governor's residence bill, it takes out the \$3 million, there is no money in this bill and it simply states that this is at the request of my majority leader and we need to look at the Capital Grounds facility needs and it says during the 2009 -2010 interim the LC shall study the utilization of all facilities on the State Capital Grounds including an evaluation of facility needs by State agencies and a review of the Capital complex and master plan. The studies must include an evaluation of the short term and long term liability of the facilities located on the Capital grounds including the Governor's residence. LC shall report its findings, recommendations and so on. The concern is from our leader anyway, that the Capital grounds planning commission is sort of entrenched in what they want to do and there are a lot of things that should have been done in

the last five to ten years that have not been done. Let's give the LC to take a look at it. With that I move a Do Pass motion and I move an adoption of the Amendment first.

Rep. Dahl: 2nd.

Chairman Grande: All in favor? Consent: 1. (All). We have the amended bill in front of us.

Rep. Dahl: Motion for a Do Pass As Amended.

Vice Chairman Randy Boehning: 2nd.

Chairman Grande: Clerk will call the roll.

Clerk Erhardt: Roll Call. Yes: 13. No: 0. Absent: 0. Carrier: Rep. Kasper.

VR
2/5/09

PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO HOUSE BILL NO. 1403

Page 1, line 1, after "A BILL" replace the remainder of the bill with "for an Act to provide for a legislative council study of the capitol complex facility needs."

BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY OF NORTH DAKOTA:

SECTION 1. LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL STUDY - STATE CAPITOL GROUNDS FACILITY NEEDS. During the 2009-10 interim, the legislative council shall study the utilization of all facilities on the state capitol grounds, including an evaluation of facility needs by state agencies and a review of the capitol complex master plan. The study must include an evaluation of the short-term and long-term viability of facilities located on the capitol grounds, including the governor's residence. The legislative council shall report its findings and recommendations, together with any legislation required to implement the recommendations, to the sixty-second legislative assembly."

Renumber accordingly

Date: 2/5/09
Roll Call Vote #: 1

2009 HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE ROLL CALL VOTES
BILL/RESOLUTION NO. 1403

House Government and Veterans Affairs Committee

Check here for Conference Committee

Legislative Council Amendment Number _____

Action Taken Do Pass As Amended

Motion Made By Dahl Seconded By Boehning

Representatives	Yes	No	Representatives	Yes	No
Chairman Grande	✓		Rep. Amerman	✓	
Vice Chairman Boehning	✓		Rep. Conklin	✓	
Rep. Dahl	✓		Rep. Schneider	✓	
Rep. Froseth	✓		Rep. Winrich	✓	
Rep. Karls	✓		Rep. Wolf	✓	
Rep. Kasper	✓				
Rep. Meier	✓				
Rep. Nathe	✓				

Total (Yes) 13 No 0

Absent 0

Floor Assignment Rep. KASPER

If the vote is on an amendment, briefly indicate intent:

REPORT OF STANDING COMMITTEE

HB 1403: Government and Veterans Affairs Committee (Rep. Grande, Chairman) recommends **AMENDMENTS AS FOLLOWS** and when so amended, recommends **DO PASS** (13 YEAS, 0 NAYS, 0 ABSENT AND NOT VOTING). HB 1403 was placed on the Sixth order on the calendar.

Page 1, line 1, after "A BILL" replace the remainder of the bill with "for an Act to provide for a legislative council study of the capitol complex facility needs."

BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY OF NORTH DAKOTA:

SECTION 1. LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL STUDY - STATE CAPITOL GROUNDS FACILITY NEEDS. During the 2009-10 interim, the legislative council shall study the utilization of all facilities on the state capitol grounds, including an evaluation of facility needs by state agencies and a review of the capitol complex master plan. The study must include an evaluation of the short-term and long-term viability of facilities located on the capitol grounds, including the governor's residence. The legislative council shall report its findings and recommendations, together with any legislation required to implement the recommendations, to the sixty-second legislative assembly."

Renumber accordingly

2009 SENATE GOVERNMENT AND VETERANS AFFAIRS

HB 1403

2009 SENATE STANDING COMMITTEE MINUTES

Bill/Resolution No. 1403

Senate Government and Veterans Affairs Committee

Check here for Conference Committee

Hearing Date: 03/20/09

Recorder Job Number: 11343

Committee Clerk Signature

Katia Oluse

Minutes:

Chairman Dever opened the public hearing on HB1403. Representative Kasper was there to introduce the bill.

Jim Kasper: Representative Jim Kasper, district 46. House bill 1403 is a bill that would require a legislative council study of the capitol grounds facilities. It would study the utilization of the facilities on the capitol grounds, it would study and evaluate the needs by state agencies, it would review the capitol complex master plan. The study must include evaluation of both short and long term viability of facilities on the capitol grounds; it must cover capitol ground master plan that was last updated in 2000 by the capitol grounds planning committee. I asked Representative Carlson in contemplating this study if they have ever studied the master plan. As you will hear for their needs for space and location and cramped quarters we think that it is time the legislative council looks at this matter. In the 2000 master plan that was adopted the cost would be \$120 million. This study would be looking at the building needs of the agencies as well as any other area legislative council wants to go with it.

Senator Horne: We think it is a good idea in general and we sent a similar bill to you. How are they different?

Jim Kasper: Senate bill 2425 calls for a study by OMB for space needs of the various agencies. I like that one too. The difference is that house bill 1403 would be chaired by the legislative council. OMB would be executive branch and legislative council would be legislative. Legislative council has never studied the master plan and I think that it is time that we do.

Senator Dever: Senate bill 2425 is for all facilities occupied by state agencies.

Jim Kasper: May also bring in concerns in other areas. House bill 1403 is not excluding that just solely focusing on the Capitol.

Senator Dever: The point was made by Mr. Boyle that he can do all that. The legislative council study would be

Jim Kasper: When we look at potentially \$120-\$150 mill I think that the legislators need to take a deeper look at this. It is the legislator's responsibility to if we are going to build or rearrange space.

Jerry VandeWalle: Chief Justice of the Supreme Court. I asked for a study of the legislative council during the state of the judiciary. I support any study of space needs in the capitol. This year IT was moved out of the capitol to rented space. We need more people and space that will support the servers. The other issue is that when we moved into the Judicial Wing it was a 20 year projected plan. Since that time the legislature has unified that plan. We are in need of space it is a crucial time. This is the first time we have had to separate our people. I urge you to support this.

Senator Dever: Both bills have referenced your comments from state of the judiciary.

Jerry VandeWalle: I hope we are the not the excuse and we are the recipient of what happened.

Senator Nelson: Who makes the space allocations?

Jerry VandeWalle: I can tell you this the time the Judicial wing was designed there was a specific plan. The Judiciary got most of what was allocated. A few years ago we needed more space and we brought it to Mr. Boyle. I am not sure if we have all but we have close to it. It is Mr. Boyle that allocates.

Senator Dever: What year was the judicial wing was completed.

Jerry VandeWalle: I can tell you this, at the time that the judicial wing was designed there was a specific plan for the space; the judiciary got most of what was allocated.

John Boyle: Director of Facility Management for OMB. I support 2425 and 1403, either way we will be involved in the process. I would be more than happy to answer questions.

Senator Nelson: A lot of people don't know that is there is a master plan.

John Boyle: We have the entire master plan on our website and we direct people to that. It is a small part of overall government so if they are not involved in the buildings or what is going on in the capitol they would never know that the plan exists.

Senator Nelson: Did we ever have an architect's schematic design?

John Boyle: No, that was never done. We have a large map of what the capitol looks like today and what it will look like in 21 years. We have had other studies done since 9/11 indicating that there are better ways to do the parking lot and where they should go.

Senator Dever: Might this study include consideration what to do to make access easier?

John Boyle: The master plan calls for the elimination of the rotunda and reviewing the plaza as well. We think it is important to have a cover entrance for dignitaries to be let off, that would be on the west side in the legislative wing that would have to be built first.

There were no other questions and Chairman Dever closed the public hearing on HB1403.

A motion was made for a do pass Senator Cook with a second by Senator Horne. Vote was taken with the motion passing 5-0 with Senator Horne carrying the bill to the floor.

REPORT OF STANDING COMMITTEE (410)
March 23, 2009 7:59 a.m.

Module No: SR-52-5515
Carrier: Oehlke
Insert LC: . Title: .

REPORT OF STANDING COMMITTEE

HB 1403, as engrossed: Government and Veterans Affairs Committee (Sen. Dever, Chairman) recommends **DO PASS** (5 YEAS, 0 NAYS, 0 ABSENT AND NOT VOTING). Engrossed HB 1403 was placed on the Fourteenth order on the calendar.