

MICROFILM DIVIDER

OMB/RECORDS MANAGEMENT DIVISION
SFN 2053 (2/85) 5M



ROLL NUMBER

DESCRIPTION

1240

Measure Actions

Send me to:

» [HB 1240 Versions](#) » [Major Topics Index](#) » [2007 Legislative Information](#)

HJ=House Journal; SJ=Senate Journal

Introduced by Rep. Dietrich, Nottestad, Porter

Introduced by Sen. Hacker

A BILL for an Act to create and enact a new subsection to section 20.1-03-03 and a new subsection to section 20.1-03-12 of the North Dakota Century Code, relating to resident waterfowl hunting licenses and fees; and to amend and reenact section 20.1-03-07.1 of the North Dakota Century Code, relating to nonresident waterfowl hunting.

01/08 House Introduced, first reading, referred Natural Resources [HJ 77](#)

01/29 House Request return from committee [HJ 281](#)

Withdrawn from further consideration [HJ 281](#)

[⏪ Back to top](#)

» [Contact Legislative Council](#) » [Legislative Council Home](#)

FISCAL NOTE
 Requested by Legislative Council
 01/10/2007

Bill/Resolution No.: HB 1240

1A. **State fiscal effect:** *Identify the state fiscal effect and the fiscal effect on agency appropriations compared to funding levels and appropriations anticipated under current law.*

	2005-2007 Biennium		2007-2009 Biennium		2009-2011 Biennium	
	General Fund	Other Funds	General Fund	Other Funds	General Fund	Other Funds
Revenues				(\$1,350,000)		(\$1,350,000)
Expenditures				\$128,000		\$130,000
Appropriations						

1B. **County, city, and school district fiscal effect:** *Identify the fiscal effect on the appropriate political subdivision.*

2005-2007 Biennium			2007-2009 Biennium			2009-2011 Biennium		
Counties	Cities	School Districts	Counties	Cities	School Districts	Counties	Cities	School Districts

2A. **Bill and fiscal impact summary:** *Provide a brief summary of the measure, including description of the provisions having fiscal impact (limited to 300 characters).*

Nonresident waterfowl hunters would, with current limits, receive 10 duck tags for each of their two 7 day hunting periods. Resident waterfowl hunters would be required to purchase a new \$5 waterfowl licenses.

B. **Fiscal impact sections:** *Identify and provide a brief description of the sections of the measure which have fiscal impact. Include any assumptions and comments relevant to the analysis.*

Reduction of the nonresident waterfowl limit to a possession limit(10 birds) in a 7 day period would result in a significant reduction in the number of nonresident hunters. This would be partially offset by revenue from the new resident waterfowl license.

3. **State fiscal effect detail:** *For information shown under state fiscal effect in 1A, please:*

A. **Revenues:** *Explain the revenue amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, for each revenue type and fund affected and any amounts included in the executive budget.*

It is difficult to predict this, but it is estimated that nonresident waterfowl license sales could be reduced by one third(8,000 licenses) or -\$800,000/yr. About 30,000 five dollar resident waterfowl hunting licenses would be sold per year for a gain of \$150,000 per year.

B. **Expenditures:** *Explain the expenditure amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, for each agency, line item, and fund affected and the number of FTE positions affected.*

Nonresident tags would cost about \$25,000 per biennium to produce. Shipping costs would be about \$50,000 per year.

C. **Appropriations:** *Explain the appropriation amounts. Provide detail, when appropriate, for each agency and fund affected. Explain the relationship between the amounts shown for expenditures and appropriations. Indicate whether the appropriation is also included in the executive budget or relates to a continuing appropriation.*

Name:	Paul T. Schadewald	Agency:	ND Game and Fish Department
Phone Number:	328-6328	Date Prepared:	01/10/2007