

**Infrastructure Needs:
North Dakota's County, Township, & Tribal
Roads & Bridges
2017-2036**

Draft Report
ND Legislative Transportation Committee
Harvest Room
September 28, 2016

Upper Great Plains Transportation Institute
North Dakota State University

NDSU UPPER GREAT PLAINS
TRANSPORTATION INSTITUTE

Outline of Today's Presentation

- Introductory Remarks/general findings
- Quick History of Studies
- General Changes in 2016 Study Process
- Data Collection Details
- Data Analysis Processes/Issues
- Summation of Needs Presentation

Slide 2

NDSU UPPER GREAT PLAINS
TRANSPORTATION INSTITUTE

Introductory Remarks

- This study is again an improvement over the past studies.
 - Utilization of Legislative Support of Asset Management
 - GRIT – Geographic Roadway Information Tool
 - Better pavement history Data
 - Improved Unpaved Road Survey Instrument
 - Built with a user group
 - Provided Webinar based Training – Recorded

Slide 3

NDSU UNIVERSITY OF NORTH DAKOTA
TRANSPORTATION INSTITUTE

General Findings for 2016

- Overall Ride and Pavement Ratings are Improving
- County participation in Asset Management (GRIT) has enhanced quality of pavement history/thickness data.
- Pavements are thicker based on GRIT and Final GPR data resulting in less reconstruction and more overlays.
- Unit costs are lower than in last study with no differential between oil and non-oil counties
- Gravel costs have had much more attention by locals
- Gravel costs are up a bit
- Paving costs are down for the 20 year period as expected due to recent investments
- Bridges are generally unchanged – up slightly

Slide 4

NDSU UNIVERSITY OF NORTH DAKOTA
TRANSPORTATION INSTITUTE

Quick History of Studies

- 2010 study: UGPTI estimated road investment needs for the 2011 session
 - 21,500 new wells & increased ag. production
- 2012 study: updated investment needs
 - 46,000 new wells, ag. production, & initial bridge study
- 2014 Study: more comprehensive data
 - Higher roadway costs, ag. production, & 60,000 new wells
- 2016 Study: First Study with GRIT and Reduced Oil Exploration: 30, 60, & 90 Rigs

Slide 5

NDSU UNIVERSITY OF NORTH DAKOTA
TRANSPORTATION INSTITUTE

2016 Study Horizon

- 20 year time frame
- Traffic and investment needs estimated annually
- Results summarized by:
 - Biennium
 - Region
- Detailed results by:
 - County
 - Jurisdiction

Slide 6

NDSU UNIVERSITY OF NORTH DAKOTA
TRANSPORTATION INSTITUTE

UGPTI Study Team

- Denver Tolliver
- Alan Dybing
- Tim Horner
- Bradley Wentz
- Pan Lu
- Andrew Wrucke
- Michal Jaroszynski

Slide 7

Feedback from Counties and Legislators - 2015

- Interactive map was effective in communicating results
- First time many had objective pavement ratings available to them
- Study provided basis for investing in transportation infrastructure

pg. 8

Concerns from Counties and Legislators - 2015

- Pavement condition scores may not reflect age of lower layers of pavement
 - More accurate shoulder width and pavement thickness needed
- Counties not uniformly reporting gravel costs
- No costs for minor structures
- Some counties unaware of data requests – resulting from communication complexity within counties

pg. 9

2016 Study Priorities

- Emphasis on uniformity of gravel costing submissions (revised survey instrument)
- Additional improvements to county pavement condition data
- Continued improvement to traffic data and forecasting
- Updated costing and modeling concepts
- Capture more accurate data history from counties – asset inventory tool (GRIT)
- Continued emphasis on maintaining system – not providing for major upgrades

pg. 10

General Changes in Study Process

- Finished county pavement strength testing for majority of paved roads
- Obtained age, width and project data from many counties through GRIT.
- Enhanced unpaved roads survey with revised survey and extensive training
- Traffic Model Sensitivity Process
 - 30, 60 and 90 rig traffic models
 - 20 to 22 wells per rig per year

Slide 11


NDSU UNIVERSITY OF NORTH DAKOTA
TRANSPORTATION INSTITUTE

Primary Data Collection Items

- Traffic counts
- Pavement ride & distress (Pathways)
- Falling weight & GPR
- County gravel mtce. information
- Oil data
- Crop data
- NBIS data
- County jurisdictional data

Slide 12

NDSU UNIVERSITY OF NORTH DAKOTA
TRANSPORTATION INSTITUTE



Pavement Data Collection

- Condition data collection
 - Collected data with NDDOT Pathway van and operator
 - Approx. 4500 miles of paved county roads tested
 - Supplemented 1000 miles with GRIT data (age base PCR)
 - Collection completed August 2015
 - UGPTI provided driver



Slide 14

NDSU CENTER FOR GREAT PLAINS TRANSPORTATION INSTITUTE

Data Collection (Cont.)

- Pavement/subgrade strength and depth surveys
 - Falling Weight Deflectometer and Ground Penetrating Radar
 - Sampling on all county paved segments > 2 miles in length
 - Completed October 28, 2015




pg. 16

NDSU LEWIS & CLARK
TRANSPORTATION INSTITUTE

Non-Destructive Testing Sites – FWD & GPR

2013

2015



pg. 17

NDSU LEWIS & CLARK
TRANSPORTATION INSTITUTE

Data Collection – Gravel Road Cost Surveys

- Gravel costs & production techniques
- Placement costs
- Transportation & placement costs
- Dust suppressant costs
- Intermediate practices
 - Stabilization armor coat
 - Double chip seal/armor coat
 - Others
- Questionnaire responses:
 - 2014 - 52 Counties; 2016 - 53 Counties

Slide 18

Unpaved (Gravel Roads Survey)

- Survey Released to Counties 8-14-15
- Survey Released to TWP's 9-1-15
 - Supplemental letter to County Comm/Auditors
- Instructional Webinar Hosted and Recorded
 - September 23, 2015
- Reminder letters throughout year
- Status July 1
 - 53 Counties Submitted
 - 738 TWP's Submitted



pg. 20

County Road Needs Study

County: _____

Contact: _____
Name Phone Email

Preparer: _____ Date Prepared: _____

Aggregate Description

To determine the type and quality of aggregate used in your county, please check all boxes that apply. For example, if your county uses crushed, spec gravel – select crushed material and specifications.

- Gravel
- Scoria
- Pit Run
- Crushed Material
- Specifications
- Tested
- Other _____

Placement Practices

When aggregate overlays are placed in your county, please select the typical practice that is used to apply an aggregate overlay.

- Truck Drop and Blade
- Windrow/Equalize
- Water/Rolling/Compaction
- Other _____

Slide 21

Operational Tasks

In this section, please provide a percentage of tasks that are done using county resources versus the percentage of work done by a contractor. For example, if your county owns the pit and does all of the crushing using county labor, 100% would be entered into the first column, and 0% in the second column.

Task	Performed by:	
	County	Contractor
Crushing		
Hauling		
Placement		
Blading		
Dust Control		
Base Stabilization		

Slide 22

Gravel Road Costs

Please report costs for gravel for county roads in the table below. The table asks for unit costs for graveling, maintaining, and operating gravel roads. If you are quoting contractor prices, please circle "yes" in the right hand column.

Gravel/Scoria Cost			
- Average Gravel/Scoria Cost (crushing & royalties at the pit)		Per cubic yd.	Is this Contractor Price? (yes/no)
- Trucking Cost from Gravel Origin		Per loaded mile/Cu. Yard	Is this Contractor Price? (yes/no)
- Average trucking distance for aggregate		Miles	
- Placement Costs		Per mile	Is this Contractor Price? (yes/no)
- Blading Cost		Per mile	Is this Contractor Price? (yes/no)
- Dust Suppressant Costs		Per mile	Is this Contractor Price? (yes/no)
- Base Stabilization Cost		Per mile	Is this Contractor Price? (yes/no)
- Snow Removal Cost		Per mile	Is this Contractor Price? (yes/no)

Slide 23

Gravel Road Practices

This section asks for information regarding gravel road practices based upon differing traffic levels. Under the "Daily Traffic" row, please enter what you would consider low, medium and high traffic levels on gravel roads within your county. In the example below, low is categorized as less than 50 vehicles, medium 50-150 vehicles and high 150-350. This is expected to vary significantly from county to county, so please use your own estimates of traffic levels. Following the traffic entry, please enter the regraveling thickness, blading frequency, regraveling frequency, and whether dust suppressant or base stabilization are used at each of these traffic categories.

EXAMPLE	Traffic Levels		
	Low	Medium	High
Daily Traffic	>50	50-150	150-350
Average Regraveling Thickness	3 in	4 in	5 in
Blading Frequency (# per year)	8	12	16
Regraveling Frequency (years between regraveling)	7	5	3
Dust Suppressant (yes/no)	no	no	Yes
Base Stabilization (yes/no)	no	no	Yes

County Entry	Traffic Levels		
	Low	Medium	High
Daily Traffic			
Average Regraveling Thickness			
Blading Frequency (# per month)			
Regraveling Frequency (years between regraveling)			
Dust Suppressant (yes/no)			
Base Stabilization (yes/no)			

Slide 24


Data Collection – Bridges

- Used 2015 NBI bridge inventory & GIS data
- 2,423 open county, township and local bridges
- Removed 406 bridges
 - Bridges on trails – GIS Hub
 - Bridges on unimproved roads – GIS Hub
 - Bridges on graded/drainage – GIS Hub
 - Bridges on roads with grass on road – Google Earth
 - Recently closed bridges – county memos to LG
 - Bridges recently replaced with culverts

Slide 26



NDSU UNIVERSITY OF NORTH DAKOTA
TRANSPORTATION INSTITUTE


Pavement Condition 2013/2016 PSR Comparison



Slide 29

NDSU UNIVERSITY OF NORTH DAKOTA
TRANSPORTATION INSTITUTE





Modeling of Freight/Truck Movements

Slide 33

NDSU UNIVERSITY OF NORTH DAKOTA
TRANSPORTATION INSTITUTE

Oil Analysis

- Each of the major truck traffic categories were analyzed due to potential differences in travel behavior and trip length distribution.
- A total of 9 sub models were estimated for the overall oil sub model.
- Individual estimates were aggregated to the segment level for overall traffic estimates.
- **Three rig count scenarios were estimated: 30, 60 and 90 rigs**
- Rig productivity was updated following updates at the WBPC

Slide 34

NDSU UNIVERSITY OF NORTH DAKOTA
TRANSPORTATION INSTITUTE

Agricultural Analysis

- **A total of 9 commodities were modeled.** In addition, fertilizer and transshipment movements were modeled individually for a total of 11 ag sub models.
- Individual models were aggregated to the segment level to develop estimates of agricultural traffic estimates statewide.

Slide 35

NDSU UNIVERSITY OF NORTH DAKOTA
TRANSPORTATION INSTITUTE

Summation of Needs Part of Presentation

Slide 40


NDSU NORTH DAKOTA STATE UNIVERSITY
TRANSPORTATION INSTITUTE

Initial Results of Bridge Analysis

Period	Statewide	Oil Patch	Rest of State
2017-18	\$88	\$21	\$67
2019-20	\$88	\$21	\$67
2021-22	\$88	\$21	\$67
2023-24	\$88	\$21	\$67
2025-26	\$88	\$21	\$67
2027-36	\$12	\$3	\$9
2017-36	\$449	\$106	\$343

NDSU NORTH DAKOTA STATE UNIVERSITY
TRANSPORTATION INSTITUTE

Grand Totals by County – All Improvements



pg 42

Draft Summation of Unpaved Road Needs

Slide 43

Summation of Pavement Needs

Slide 47

NDSU UNIVERSITY OF NORTH DAKOTA TRANSPORTATION INSTITUTE

Table of Paved Roads Results – 60 Rig

Period	Resurfacing Cost	Widening Cost	Reconstruction Cost	Mine & Blend Cost	Break & Seat Cost	Maintenance Cost	Total Cost
2017-2018	\$122.5	\$27.2	\$14.0	\$15.3	\$11.9	\$101.3	\$292.2
2019-2020	\$120.4	\$33.0	\$20.9	\$22.0	\$3.8	\$102.1	\$302.2
2021-2022	\$68.0	\$6.5	\$99.5	\$0.2	\$0.0	\$103.0	\$277.2
2023-2024	\$69.0	\$4.8	\$50.8	\$2.4	\$4.6	\$103.6	\$235.2
2025-2026	\$107.4	\$0.0	\$21.0	\$0.2	\$0.7	\$104.6	\$234.0
2027-2031	\$168.5	\$0.8	\$1.2	\$7.5	\$2.0	\$265.6	\$445.5
2032-2036	\$242.1	\$5.8	\$1.0	\$1.4	\$2.2	\$215.3	\$467.8
Total	\$898.0	\$78.0	\$208.4	\$49.0	\$25.2	\$995.5	\$2,254.1

- 30 Rig Scenario – \$2.194 Billion
- 90 Rig Scenario - \$2.268 Billion
- 2014 Study – \$2.911 Billion

pg 48


NDSU UNIVERSITY OF NORTH DAKOTA TRANSPORTATION INSTITUTE

First Biennium & Total Study Period Costs: Preliminary Findings & Comparison of Studies

2016 Study (\$ Millions)					2014 Study
Period	Bridge	Paved	Unpaved	Total	Total
2017-2019	\$88	\$645	\$292	\$1,025	\$1172
2017-2036	\$449	2,254	6090	\$8,793	\$9086

*2014 Study costs have been moved forward to allow direct comparison against 2016 study period

Grand Totals by County – All Improvements



Outreach/Comment Process

Posting of Draft Document on Webpage

The screenshot shows the UGPTI website with a navigation menu at the top: PROGRAMS, ABOUT US, ADMINISTRATIVE PARTNERS, RESOURCES, RESEARCH, EDUCATION, and TRAINING & OUTREACH. The main content area features a sidebar on the left with an image of hands typing on a laptop and the text 'education Building tomorrow's transportation professionals UGPTI'. The main content area has the title 'Assessment of ND County and Local Road Needs, 2015-2017' and a paragraph describing the study. A 'Related Links' box contains 'Introduction' and 'Physical Road Testing'. A 'View the Draft Final Report' link is circled in blue, with a note below it: 'For question or comments on the report, contact infrastructure1517@ugpti.org'. A 'Downloads' section lists 'Statewide Interactive Map', 'Navigating the Interactive Map (PDF, 721K)', and a presentation to the ND Legislature on November 12, 2015.

Assessment of ND County and Local Road Needs, 2015-2017

This effort responds to the North Dakota Legislature's request for a study of the transportation infrastructure needs of all county, township, and tribal roads and bridges in the state. The following document is in draft form and available for comments and, based on comments, is subject to potential edits. The final draft will be presented later this fall, to the ND Legislature – Budget Section and the Interim Transportation Committee. Infrastructure needs are estimated using the most current crop and oil production forecasts, traffic estimates, and roadway condition data. Agricultural and oil-related traffic is modeled in detail at the sub-county level. Oil-related traffic is predicted for individual spacing units, whereas agricultural production is estimated at the township level.

Related Links

- Introduction
- Physical Road Testing

View the Draft Final Report

For question or comments on the report, contact infrastructure1517@ugpti.org

Downloads

- Statewide Interactive Map
- Navigating the Interactive Map (PDF, 721K)
- Presentation to Interim Transportation Committee of the ND Legislature on November 12, 2015: Status of 2015-16 County and Township Road and Bridge Investment Needs Study

Tracking of Comments/Responses

- As per 2014 Method.

Commenting Entity	UGPTI Emailed Road Authority Maps and Offered to Help(dch)	Tribal Contacts	UGPTI Visited Road Authority in Person (dch or bw)	UGPTI Contacted or Met With Road Authority's Consultant(dch)	Sent Response to UGPTI	UGPTI Emailed Response	UGPTI Phone Response
Adams County	X						
Barnes County	X		X	X	X	Mielke	X
Benson County	X		X		X		
Billings County	X		X		X	Mielke	
Bottineau County	X			X			
Bowman County	X			X			X
Burke County	X				X		
Burleigh County	X		X		X		Alan
Cass County	X		X				X
Cavaller County	X				X		
Dickey County	X		X	X	X	Mielke	
Divide County	X						
Dunn County	X		X				
Eddy County	X				X		
Emmons County	X		X	X			
Foster County	X						
Golden Valley County	X		X				
Grand Forks County	X		X		X		Alan
Grant County	X			X			
Griggs County	X						

pg. 56

Questions?