



Early Childhood Revocations since 2008


Year	Revocations
2008	23
2009	17
2010	11
2011	21
2012	7
2013	14



Provider Type	Revocations
Self-Declaration	43
Family	12
Group	35
Center	3



County	Revocations
Burleigh	21
Cass	20
Grand Forks	19
Ward	12
Morton	6
Stutsman	2
Richland	2
Williams	2
Stark	2
Others	7



Summary of Circumstances leading to Revocations

- Most revocations result from a combination of licensing violations, such as staff-to-child ratio, condition of facility, existence of health and safety hazards, and failure to maintain records or to verify staff qualifications through background checks. In most cases, the revocation has been issued after repeated attempts at corrective action have not been successful.
- In some cases, a revocation has been issued after a single licensing violation resulted in a child injury, or the potential for child injury.
- Some revocations have been issued after a Child Protection Assessment has resulted in a Services Required finding.
- A few revocations were the result of incidents where the provider was charged or convicted of a criminal offense.

Childcare Death Report

Year	Number of Deaths	County
2008	0	
2009	2	Ward, Cass
2010	1	Burleigh
2011	2	Cass
2012	3	Cass(2), Burleigh(1)
2013	1	Stark

Most child deaths were infant deaths, where SIDS or natural causes were ruled as the cause of death. There were two deaths where negligence or abuse was suspected.